1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 ERNESTO M LOPEZ, 9 Petitioner, No C 08-0012 VRW (PR) 10 ORDER VS. 11 (Doc # 7) BEN CURRY, Warden, 12 Respondent. 13 14 15 Respondent moves to dismiss the petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 USC § 2254 on the ground that petitioner has not exhausted state 16 17 judicial remedies as to two of six claims. Petitioner asks that the court strike the 18 two unexhausted claims and re-order respondent to show cause why a writ of 19 habeas corpus should not be granted with respect to the four remaining claims. 20 Respondent's motion to dismiss (doc # 7) for failure to exhaust all claims 21 is GRANTED. Good cause appearing therefor, petitioner's claims (2) and (5) are 22 STRICKEN and respondent is ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE, within 60 days 23 of this order, why a writ of habeas corpus should not be issued with respect to the 24 remaining four claims. 25 SO ORDERED. 26 United States District Chief Judge 27

28